UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 JAMES E. COHAN, Case No. 2:17-cv-01651-JCM-NJK Plaintiff, 6 **ORDER** 7 v. [Docket Nos. 34, 35] 8 JOSEPH LOMBARDO, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to be allowed to refile a claim. Docket No. 34. Plaintiff previously filed two amended complaints in this action. Docket Nos. 14, 22-1. $11\|$ 12 Plaintiff's first amended complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 16. The undersigned issued a report and recommendation that Plaintiff's second amended complaint and 13 this case be dismissed. Docket No. 23. The order adopting the report and recommendation noted that Plaintiff did not object to the dismissal without prejudice. Docket No. 30 at 2 (citing Docket 16 No. 24). 17 Dismissal of a case without prejudice preserves a plaintiff's right to refile his claim. See, 18 e.g., Com. Space Mgmt. Co., Inc. v. Boeing Co., Inc., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999) (internal 19 citations omitted). However, after a case has been dismissed without prejudice, a plaintiff must 20 file his complaint in a new case and not refile it in the dismissed case. E.g., Frost v. Nat'l Sec. 21 Agency, 2018 WL 6822632, *2 (N.D. Cal. 2018); Stine v. Wiley, 2010 WL 3516634, *1 (D. Colo. 22 2010). 23 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to refile a claim is **DENIED.** Docket No. 34. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED** as moot. Docket No. 35. This denial does <u>not</u> affect Plaintiff's ability to file his complaint in a newly initiated case. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26

1

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

27

28

Dated: October 20, 2022